

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/593,284	ARAKI, KATSUMI	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Fiona T. Powers	1626	

All Participants:
Status of Application: allowed

(1) Fiona T. Powers.

(3) ____.

(2) Sunhee Lee.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 26 March 2008
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

1, 9 and 11

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner contacted Ms. Lee to inform her that the application would be in condition for allowance if the following were done: 1) claim 1, line 7, delete "where" and insert -or- and line 9, insert -unsubstituted or substituted- before "amino"; 2) claim 9, delete "comprising" and insert -of-; and 3) claim 11, page 23, lines 4 and 5, delete "scopes" and insert -definition-. It was agreed that claims 1, 9 and 11 would be amended as discussed above by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Assent/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

